

APPENDIX 17a

Refereeing		Coaching	
Daniel Clay, Rachel Bennett	AUS	Marc Widmer	SUI
Sam Hugh, Wayne Nitschke	AUS	Alan Clay	AUS
Andrew Cook, John Orr	AUS	Chris Freimann	AUS
Clinton Teh, Taneeka LaBrooy	AUS	Rich Williams	AUS
Bernadette Shadon, Kim Davie	AUS	Patrik Nilsson	AUS
Simone Dergacz, Jason Petty	AUS	Daniel Power	AUS
Lettia Woods, Will McDade	AUS		
Thomas Thim	SWE	Juerg Khim (AUS/SUI) & John Liljelund	FIN

Number of Participants who found the Issue to be very interesting

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Movement on the pitch	13	Practical trainings	5
Prevention of incidents	6	Group dynamics	4
Game management	6	Planning a training	3
Psychology	5	For checking practice	3
Rule discussion and hints	5	Team building	2
Referee leadership	4	Tactical discussion	2
Discussions	4	Basic technical skills	2
Preparing an own course	4	Goalie practice	2
Communication	3	Game tactics	1
Hidden signals	3	Observation of games	1
Open minded approach	3	Experience of lectures	1
Good lecturer/stories	3	Player movement	1
Feedback to referees	3	Making drills	1
Controlling emotions	2	Thinking about tactics	1
Floating game	2	Learning about body contact	1
Rules questions	2	Objectives of a drill	1
Usage of videos	2	Sharing experiences	1
Mindset of referees	2	Good lecturers	1
Focus on possibilities	1	Player Roles	1
Creating a brand	1	Match feedback	1
Presentation of the material	1	Opening moves	1
Positioning of referees	1		
Observation techniques	1		

Number of Participants who found issues negative during the seminar

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Not enough rules/examples	7	A very long day	2
Too much slides and words	3	No need for free time	1
Too long day	3	Game preparation organised	1
Not enough time for discussion	3	No discussion about Powerplay	1
Early start Sunday	3	Hot room	1
Too technical with rules	3	More video clips used	1
Penalty for failing	2		
Long lectures	2		
Beep test	1		
More breaks	1		
Language mistakes	1		

Issues that the Participants found to be needed in the next seminars

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Practical rule education	4	Have a full four day seminar	1
Presentation to be filmed	1	More basic and practical skills	1
		Practical goalie training	1
		Power play analysis	1

Average Scores given by the Participants on a scale from 1 = Not good at all to 5 = very good; Total seminar = 4,3

Refereeing	Avg	Coaching	Avg	Total
Information level	4,1	Information level	4,3	4,2
Usefulness	4,2	Usefulness	4,4	4,3
Gave me ideas	4,1	Gave me ideas	4,2	4,1
Relevance	4,4	Relevance	4,3	4,3
Total	4,2	Total	4,3	4,3