

International Floorball Federation

Feedback given by Participants

Development Programme Seminar

Toronto, Canada 02. - 05.04.2007

Organisation		Refereeing		Coaching	
Andrew Cenowa	CAN	James Hetmanek	CAN	Henrik Eriksson	USA
Juha Mikkola	CAN	Vince Faso	USA	Greg Beaudin	CAN
Daniel Sabourin	CAN	Michael Desautels	CAN	Sonja Hotke	CAN
Jan-Erik Paris	USA	Eero Piilokivi	CAN	Paul Charbonneau	CAN
Harry Hanelius	CAN	Henry Korhonen	CAN	Bruce Briard	CAN
		Bill Greene	CAN	Chris Jung	CAN
		Aaron Hoffman	CAN	Matt Joutsikoski	CAN
				Randy Sa'd	CAN
Renato Orlando (SUI) & John Liljelund FIN		Thomas Thim	SWE	Mika Muukkonen & John Liljelund	FIN

Number of Participants who found the Issue to be very interesting

Organisation	Resp	Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Good presentations	7	Game management	6	Basic practical skills	5
History of Floorball	6	Feedback for refereeing	5	Practical training session	5
Recruiting players/coaches	6	Body language	3	Game analysis WFC 2006	4
Match secretary training	6	IFF history	3	Game time duties/feedback	4
Organising an event	5	Development plan	2	Doing the drills	3
Round table discussion	5	Feedback session	2	Feedback session	3
Anti-Doping	5	Great sense of belonging	2	Good communication	3
Insurance	4	Leadership	2	Good lectures	3
Licence system	4	Politics	2	Power play tactics	3
Organisation structure	3	Psychology	2	Team building/dynamics	3
Sport Politics	3	Referee observation	2	Coaching session	2
Cross-over session	3	Referee organisation structure	2	Defensive tactics	2
Development plan	3	Referee positioning	2	Physical training	2
Equipment	3	Communication		Team tactics	2
Feedback session	3	Creating a referee brand		Fun game	
Fun game	3	How to handle angry players		Game statistics	
Media work	3	Movement		IFF History	
	2	Population of assignment		Meeting people	
		Real life examples		Politics	
		Referee development		Receiving coach boards	
		Referee leadership		Sharing stories	
		Referee signs			
		View of North American coaches			

Number of Participants who found issues negative during the seminar

Organisation	Resp	Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Faster through the material	3	More practical issues	2	More time needed in the hall	3
Phones are on	2	No breaks	2	Too long answers	3
Transfer regulations	2	Too much European translation	2	Coach to coach during the game	2
A bit fast		Rushed through the lectures	2	Lack of interaction between the sectors	2
Finance part		Too much lunch break	2	Not enough players for the practices	2
Game duties bad due to chaos		Observation was not motivating		Participant not focused/interruptions	2
Less text on the slides		Need more pre-game duties		Time management	2
More breaks		Not functioning group work		Development not too focused	
Participants going in and out		No planned dinner		No break refreshments	
People dropping in and out		Late start		Time spent on politics	
Slides in advance		More Video and TV		Too little breaks	

Issues that the Participants found to be needed in the next seminars

Organisation	Resp	Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Grant application example	1	More videos	2	More practical sessions	4
More cross-over sessions	1			More game videos	2
				Follow-up course	1

Average Scores given by the Participants on a scale from 1 = Not good at all to 5 = very good; Total seminar = 4,2

Organisation	Avg	Refereeing	Avg	Coaching	Avg	Total
Information level	4,3	Information level	4,2	Information level	4,0	4,2
Usefulness	4,3	Usefulness	4,3	Usefulness	4,1	4,2
Gave me ideas	4,3	Gave me ideas	4,1	Gave me ideas	4,0	4,1
Relevance	4,5	Relevance	4,4	Relevance	4,2	4,4
Total	4,3	Total	4,3	Total	4,1	4,2