

## **Report RACC-meeting in Wroclaw 28.07.2017**

### **1) RACC**

#### **a) RACC-meeting in Vantaa 20-21.05.2017**

See appendix to the CB-minutes

#### **b) Champions Cup**

The RACC is of the opinion that a person from RACC should be in the Champions Cup steering group due to the effect of other IFF competitions.

RACC proposes that they will have a representative in the CC steering group

### **2) Rules Group**

#### **a. Changes to Edition 2018**

See appendix to the CB-minutes

#### **b. Exceptions**

During upcoming season, there is a number of countries that wants to make exceptions from the rule book regarding extra time, number of players in sudden death and penalty shout out.

To keep control over these tests we have given following instructions:

*Any exemption to the Rules of the Game shall be applied for to the IFF in advance.*

*Granted exemptions and their time span are to be published at [www.floorball.org](http://www.floorball.org) under Regulations / Rules of the Game.*

#### **c. IFF Rules of the Game – calendar for changes**

Regarding the calendar for changing the rules the RACC discussed how to involve member Associations, IFF RC, RACC and Athletes Commission on the changes in the book and also give IFF the possibility to handle unforeseen changes of the game.

RACC have a plan to present proposal during autumn

There were also a discussions to have the General Assembly to take the final decision of rules changes.

### **3) Rules and regulations**

#### **a. International Transfers Regulations**

During the meeting that ATC had in Växjö the topic came up regarding transfer dates and that 31<sup>st</sup> of December is problematic and that ATC would prefer 31<sup>st</sup> of January. During the RACC-meeting in Vantaa the topic was discussed regarding pros and cons with today's and proposed dates. RACC thinks it is important to give players a good chance of changing club after half season but things 31.01 is too late due to closeness to play offs in a lot of leagues. The negative with 31.12 is that it's Christmas time and it is very hard to get a hold of people that need to sign papers both from giving and receiving club. Many players also go home during this time and have time to reflect over 'life' and then the time is very short if you want to move home. RACC thinks that it would be good to make a compromise that are solving the above and therefore proposes 15<sup>th</sup> of January.

See appendix to the CB-minutes

#### **b. Friendly International Matches Regulations**

As you can see in the minutes from RACC-meeting we are planning to propose changes in the Friendly International Matches Regulations and as a consequence of this also propose changes in the Juridical Regulations.

RACC have a plan to present proposal during autumn.

### **4) Jury nominations 2017-2018**

#### **a. Jury nominations**

RACC/Jury-members have all got an introduction letter regarding the work and also an explanation that they need to see the full 4-year term if they don't get any nominations the first year due to the fact that we have more jury people than ever and more limited possible spots for new jury to be in a tournament.

Other things that matters when nominations are done is:

- To go to places with only one jury you need to be more experienced
- In which part of the world you live and possibility to speak English
- If your own country is organising IFF event
- Limited number of spots for educating new jury members ((introduction is important to make good jury work possible)

## **5) Other**

### **a. Video checking goal**

RACC thinks we should consider the use of stream whenever available and to include this in the regulations. To do that we need to have a regulation over how this should be used due to the fact that there are many questions to be solved before this could be put in place. We definitely need to do some testing of what limits there is.

RACC have a plan to present proposal during autumn

### **b. Video checking players misbehaving in friendly internationals**

RACC were discussing how to implement video checking also in Friendly internationals. In many tournaments, there is video available which could be used for reporting player to IFF after the match in the same way as IFF are doing in their own tournaments with the difference that there is no jury that handles these cases on the spot.

RACC have a plan to present proposal during autumn

### **c. IFF-organisation during tournament**

Roles of the IFF-organisation during tournament were discussed due to the many problems regarding information flow between the different groups that are working during the tournaments (Jury, Referee management and referees and Office). There is a need of clear communication flow and who is desciding what.

RACC together with John have a plan to present proposal during autumn

### **d. Americas qualifying in Europe**

Canada has proposed that Americas should play in the European qualification to get more matches. The possibility was discussed and after going through pros and cons RACC think that it is not workable in a good way and recommends that qualifications are still held in Americas.

Martin Klabere 19.07.2017